Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendants' Emergency Motion to Inspect Property of Plaintiff:

Exhibit D

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	HOUSTON DIVISION
3	
4	KONNECH, INC., . 4:22-CV-03096
5	. HOUSTON, TEXAS PLAINTIFF, . OCTOBER 6, 2022 VS 1:59 P.M.
6	
7	TRUE THE VOTE, INC., . GREGG PHILLIPS AND .
8	CATHERINE ENGELBRECHT, .
9	DEFENDANTS
10	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING
	BEFORE THE HONORABLE KENNETH M. HOYT
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
	
13	
14	APPEARANCES
	APPEARANCES
14	APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
14 15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Constantine Z. Pamphilis
14 15 16	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Constantine Z. Pamphilis Nathan Richardson KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP
14 15 16 17	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Constantine Z. Pamphilis Nathan Richardson KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP Wedge International Tower 1415 Louisiana
14 15 16 17 18	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Constantine Z. Pamphilis Nathan Richardson KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP Wedge International Tower
14 15 16 17 18 19	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Constantine Z. Pamphilis Nathan Richardson KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP Wedge International Tower 1415 Louisiana Suite 2100
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Constantine Z. Pamphilis Nathan Richardson KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP Wedge International Tower 1415 Louisiana Suite 2100 Houston, Texas 77002 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: Brock C. Akers
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Constantine Z. Pamphilis Nathan Richardson KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP Wedge International Tower 1415 Louisiana Suite 2100 Houston, Texas 77002 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: Brock C. Akers J. Mark Brewer THE AKERS FIRM
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Constantine Z. Pamphilis Nathan Richardson KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP Wedge International Tower 1415 Louisiana Suite 2100 Houston, Texas 77002 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: Brock C. Akers J. Mark Brewer THE AKERS FIRM 3401 Allen Parkway Suite 101
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Constantine Z. Pamphilis Nathan Richardson KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP Wedge International Tower 1415 Louisiana Suite 2100 Houston, Texas 77002 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: Brock C. Akers J. Mark Brewer THE AKERS FIRM 3401 Allen Parkway

to indicate that there was any investigation? 14:25 1 I do not know this individual. 2 MR. AKERS: represent this individual. 3 THE COURT: Which individual are you talking about? 4 5 MR. AKERS: The individual who actually has the data 14:26 6 who then turned it over to the FBI. 7 THE COURT: No. But, I mean -- when Phillips claimed that he turned it over to the FBI, he had to have possession of 8 9 it at the time in order to turn it over? 10 MR. AKERS: Actually, yes. It was kind of a 14:26 11 combination of the two of them getting together and, Here it 12 is. THE COURT: Well, I don't know what they did, and I 13 14 don't think you know either, but you know Phillips was involved 15 in the turning over? 14:26 16 MR. AKERS: Yes. 17 THE COURT: All right. So here's my question that I'm trying to get answered, and that is this: What evidence do you 18 have or what reason do you believe that Mr. Phillips verified 19 that any of this data was coming from China? 20 14:26 21 MR. AKERS: On the basis of what -- what Mr. Phillips himself saw. 22 23 THE COURT: What he told you he saw. Have you seen it? 24 25 MR. AKERS: No. 14:27

data we're talking about, nowhere is it said to be Konnech.

They are only allegations.

14:47

14:48

14:48

14:48

14:49

14:49

THE COURT: Let me ask you this: How could your client turn anything over to the FBI that he and she did not have? And I'm not asking you to answer that. I'm posing that as a question. Where your client is going to claim that something has been turned over to the FBI and said, I don't have it, is it because he turned it over to the FBI? Then who in the FBI has it? I need to know, and I need to know whether or not it is this national security issue. I need to know whether or not the Department of Justice is involved in this in some way. They have got thousands of lawyers all over this country. Surely somebody could have contacted the Court by now.

So I don't think the answer is, Well, there is something wrong with their pleadings. I think the answer is, We are not having a hearing because his client has been arrested by people who are intent on flipping the script. He has rights to the documentation. That's undisputed. He has rights to the data that he had. And the allegation that the data was somehow sent to China, that's not in his pleadings. His pleadings are, We sent different data. So there is no allegation in his pleading that he sent the data that he received from clerks and county officials or whoever in the United States.

and call him, and I'm going to sit right here until I know it's 15:04 1 2 done. 3 MR. AKERS: I have the name. 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry? I said I have the name. 5 MR. AKERS: 15:05 6 THE COURT: Well, then deliver it. 7 I need to look it up. MR. AKERS: THE COURT: And if there are any other names --8 9 (Simultaneous crosstalk) 10 THE COURT: Let me just finish. If there are any 15:05 11 other names associated with this Dallas group, those names are 12 to be turned over. And I understand that I'm hearing you say 13 there is only one name that you have. 14 (Mr. Akers hands Mr. Pamphilis a piece of paper) 15 MR. AKERS: For the record, I just handed him the 15:06 16 name. 17 THE COURT: All right. MR. PAMPHILIS: Your Honor, I would prefer that the 18 name be read by him into the record so that there is no dispute 19 20 about the name that I was given. 15:06 I don't think I have my copy here. 21 THE COURT: I have mine electronically. 22 MR. AKERS: 23 THE COURT: Say what? 24 MR. AKERS: I have a copy of my letter electronically. 25 THE COURT: You need to read it for the record then. 15:06

submitted. 1 15:10 THE COURT: All right. I will not stand in the way of 2 lawyers filing responses or replies. He's filing a response. 3 You would be filing a reply to the response, and I'm saying 4 that I will not delay considering this matter waiting on a 15:10 5 6 If you want to file it, that's fine. 7 MR. AKERS: Understood. Thank you, gentlemen. 8 THE COURT: 9 MR. PAMPHILIS: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 (Court adjourned at 3:10 PM) 11 * * * * I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from 12 13 the record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause. 14 15 Date: October 8, 2022 16 1s/ Mayra Malone 17 Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR 18 Official Court Reporter 19 20 21 22 23 24 25